

From: Scott.Miller@deq.idaho.gov
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 2:35 PM
To: Rochlin, Kevin
Subject: RE: EPA responses to DEQ comments on the Design Work Plan.docx

Categories: 11-19 to 1-10 2014

Kevin,

Simple answer; I would like the sentence stating there is no infiltration of precipitation without ponding at the site, removed from the document. If this were true there would be no need for ET caps.
The proposed resolution should have read "Changes to the document would be agreeable but are not expected", sorry.
I'm good with the rest.

Thanks,
SM

From: Rochlin, Kevin [<mailto:rochlin.kevin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 3:01 PM
To: Scott Miller
Cc: Rochlin, Kevin
Subject: EPA responses to DEQ comments on the Design Work Plan.docx

Scott,

How would you like the sentence in #2 to read? I will have the change.

Regarding tone, I am going to talk to Barbara rather than have it in a comment. I will put the comment in writing if it still occurs.
I totally agree with you.

Finally, regarding comment #3: Agree, they do not need the permits, but have to comply with the requirements.

Kevin